

	5	4	3	2	1	Score for each category (out of 5)
<b>Heading</b>	Heading includes appropriate title of presentation, name of presenter, names of collaborating colleagues, department affiliations	Heading is missing one required part	Heading is missing more than one required part	Heading is missing most of the required parts	No Heading	
<b>Introduction</b>	Clearly stated, well written includes a clear description of the relevant background to the project and defines the gap in knowledge	Clearly stated, well written includes an adequate description of the relevant background to the project and defines the gap in knowledge	Somewhat clear and/or related to the research question	Unclear/ poorly written/ unrelated to the project	No introduction given	
<b>Research Question and Hypothesis</b>	Clearly stated, well written, with a clear description of the research question and hypothesis	Clearly stated, well written, with an adequate description of the research question and hypothesis	Somewhat clear and/or related to the research question	Unclear/ poorly written/ unrelated to the research question	No research question or hypothesis stated	
<b>Research Methods</b>	Detailed and clearly stated, can easily be understood	Can easily be understood	Somewhat organised, easily understood	Disorderly/difficult to understand	No methods included	
<b>Results</b>	Main results provided in clear format which is easy to understand; data correlated with the research question and hypothesis. Correct units for measurements and appropriate statistical analysis provided if applicable	Results presented adequately; data correlated with the research question and hypothesis. Correct units for measurements and appropriate statistical analysis provided if applicable	Results somewhat clearly provided. Correct units for measurements and appropriate statistical analysis provided if applicable	Incomplete or difficult to understand. Mistakes in units for measurements and/or statistical analysis used	No results included	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Correlates to the hypothesis and data, shows an in depth understanding of the topic, including whether or not the objectives were met	Correlates to the hypothesis and data, shows a good understanding of the topic, including whether or not the objectives were met	Does not correlate to hypothesis or data; shows some understanding of the objectives	Does not correlate to hypothesis or data; shows minimal understanding of the objectives	No conclusions given	
<b>Professional Writing</b>	The Abstract is clearly written with good grammar and no spelling errors	The Abstract is clearly written with very few grammatical and spelling errors	The Abstract is adequately written with some grammatical and spelling errors	The Abstract is adequately written but with multiple grammatical and spelling errors	The Abstract is poorly written with multiple grammatical and spelling errors	
<b>Reviewer General Comments</b>	Overall impression of abstract and general comments :					
TQEH Research Expo 2018					<b>TOTAL Score out of 35</b>	